

0088231

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

D ON TRANSFER

ECTION OFFICER
JUDICIAL
ARH HIGH COURT, BILASPUR

(2)

CRIMINAL REVISION NO. 669 OF 2000

Single Bench (Criminal)

APPLICANT :- Gourishankar Agrawal,
Aged about years,
Son of Shri Nathuram Agrawal,
Resident of Baradwar,
Tahsil: Sakti,
District Janjgir-Champa (M.P.).

(4893)
Filed on 7/5/2k
by Shri A. Chatterjee (Advocate)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANT $- The State of Madhya Pradesh.

CRIMINAL REVISION UNDER SECTIONS 397/401 OF THE CODE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, 1973.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(7)

आदेश पत्रक

Cr. Rev. No. 669/2000

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3/4/2001 Shri Prashant Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ranvir Singh, learned G.A. for the State. Heard. From the order passed by the Sessions Judge on 23/3/2000 it appears that the appeal filed by the applicant was dismissed as barred by limitation. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that after passing of the order by the Competent Authority/Collector, the appellant filed the ~~revision~~ review before the same authority, therefore, the appeal could not be filed in limitation. The fact that the review was filed before the Competent Authority was ~~submitted~~ not brought to the notice of the Sessions Judge. Even otherwise, if the review petition was pending, the same did not have the effect of stopping the limitation. In absence of any application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal, it cannot be contended that the learned Appellate Court was unjustified in dismissing the appeal. I find no reason to interfere. The petition is dismissed. Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge	